

Matthew L. Rollin (SBN 332631)
SRIPLAW, P.A.
 8730 Wilshire Boulevard
 Suite 350
 Beverly Hills, California 90211
 323.452.5600 – Telephone
 561.404.4353 – Facsimile
Matthew.rollin@sriplaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff
 Viral DRM LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

VIRAL DRM LLC,

 Plaintiff,

 v.
 MARYNA LIETUCHEVA,

 Defendant.

CASE NO.:

**AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
 INFRINGEMENT, REMOVAL OF
 COPYRIGHT MANAGEMENT
 INFORMATION, AND DMCA COUNTER-
 NOTICE MISREPRESENTATION IN
 VIOLATION OF U.S.C. § 512(f)**

(INJUNCTIVE RELIEF DEMANDED)

VIRAL DRM LLC (“Viral DRM”) by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby brings this Amended Complaint against Defendant MARYNA LIETUCHEVA (“Defendant”) who operates the YOUTUBE CHANNEL named EXTREME WEATHER&NATURAL DISASTERS, for damages and injunctive relief, and in support thereof states as follows:

SUMMARY OF THE ACTION

1. Viral DRM brings this action for violations of exclusive rights under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 106, to reproduce, publicly perform, and distribute Viral DRM’s original copyrighted works of authorship; for misrepresentation under 17 U.S.C. § 512(f) for Defendant’s counternotification referred to herein that is misleading and false; and for removal or alteration of copyright management information in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This is an action arising under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 501, 512(f), 1202.

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over these claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a).

4. Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in California and jurisdiction is proper in this district court.

5. Jurisdiction is proper in California because Defendant is transacting business within California by using the YouTube platform that Google operates from within California to (a) commit acts of infringement, (b) profit from acts of infringement, (c) commit CMI violations, (d) profit from CMI violations, (e) submit false and bad faith put-back requests in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 512, and (f) profit from continued receipt of advertising revenue from videos restored by YouTube in response to Defendant's false put-back requests.

6. Jurisdiction is proper in California because Defendant committed tortious acts within California on the YouTube platform that Google operates in California. Defendant: (a) committed acts of infringement alleged below in California on the YouTube platform, (b) committed CMI falsification and removal violations alleged below in California on the YouTube platform, and (c) submitted a false and bad faith put-back request alleged below in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 512 in California on the YouTube platform.

7. Jurisdiction is proper in California because Defendant caused Viral DRM to suffer a tortious injury in this state caused by an act or omission outside this state. The acts or omissions that the Defendant committed outside the state consisted of: (a) downloading Viral DRM's copyrighted videos, (b) copying Viral DRM's copyrighted videos, (c) editing and creating derivative works of Viral DRM's copyrighted videos, and (d) removing and falsifying Viral DRM's copyright management information. All these acts caused Viral DRM to suffer tortious injury in California because after committing these acts, Defendant then uploaded the infringing videos to YouTube and displayed/performed the infringing videos on the YouTube platform with false CMI or with CMI removed. Thereafter, when Viral DRM submitted a DMCA notice to YouTube, Defendant responded by submitting a false and bad faith put-back request in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 512.

1 Following the commission of these tortious acts, Defendant then profited from its acts by receiving
2 advertising revenue from infringing videos, and damaged Viral DRM by depriving Viral DRM of
3 the revenue that rightfully belonged to Viral DRM and not Defendant.

4 8. Jurisdiction is also proper in this district court pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 512(g)(3)(D)
5 because Defendant consented to the jurisdiction of this federal district court when it provided the
6 counternotification attached hereto in **Exhibit 3**, because the service provider provided with the
7 counternotification can be found in this judicial district, namely YouTube.

8 9. Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts with the state of California, there is a
9 direct connection between the Defendant's tortious acts and the state of California, and the exercise
10 of personal jurisdiction over the Defendant complies with the Due Process Clause of the United
11 States Constitution.

12 10. Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this district pursuant to Federal Rule
13 of Civil Procedure 4(k)(2) because (i) Defendant is not subject to jurisdiction in any state's court of
14 general jurisdiction; and (ii) exercising jurisdiction is consistent with the United States Constitution
15 and laws.

16 11. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) and 1400(a) and 17
17 U.S.C. § 512(g)(3)(D) because the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this district,
18 Defendant engaged in infringement in this district, defendant are subject to personal jurisdiction in
19 this district, and because Defendant consented to this venue when Defendant provided the
20 counternotification(s) referred to herein because the service provider provided with the
21 counternotification(s) can be found in this judicial district.

22 12. Defendant may be served by and through the email address listed in its counternotice
23 and through YOUTUBE that acted as its agent and defendant agreed to accept service of process
24 through YOUTUBE from Viral DRM or an agent of Viral DRM in the counternotification pursuant
25 to 17 U.S.C. § 512(g)(3)(D).

26 **VIRAL DRM**

27 13. Viral DRM is a Mississippi limited liability company.
28

1 14. Viral DRM is a syndicator of award-winning videographic content created by talented
2 videographers who travel around the globe in pursuit of Mother Nature’s wrath. Viral DRM’s
3 videographers and photographers cover weather extremes from tornadoes, hurricanes, flooding,
4 blizzards, volcanoes and climate change impacts.

5 15. Viral DRM is affiliated with WXchasing LLC, a Mississippi limited liability
6 company, and Live Storms Media LLC, an Alabama limited liability company. WXchasing is a
7 video production company that creates some of the videographic Works that Viral DRM syndicates
8 and licenses. Live Storms Media is a licensing broker of video content owned by or exclusively
9 licensed to Viral DRM and WXchasing.

10 16. Viral DRM’s videos of extreme weather events are frequently copied, downloaded,
11 and reuploaded by infringers. Viral DRM is a popular and frequent source of footage of weather
12 events that cannot be obtained elsewhere. This makes Viral DRM a frequent target for infringers and
13 pirates.

14 17. Both Live Storms Media and WXchasing operate popular and valuable YouTube
15 channels on the YouTube platform. As of the filing of this Amended Complaint:

- 16 a. Live Storms Media had over 350,000 subscribers and 19,000 videos on its
17 YouTube channel; the most popular Live Storms Media video had over 9
18 million views; and
19 b. WXchasing had over 65,000 subscribers and 475 videos on its YouTube
20 channel; the most popular WXchasing video had over 6.5 million views.

21 18. The Live Storms Media YouTube channel and the WXchasing YouTube channel are
22 viewed by substantial numbers of California residents who also view advertising placed on the
23 videos on those channels by YouTube.

24 19. When infringement occurs to Viral DRM’s copyrighted Works, Viral DRM is injured
25 and damaged in California. When someone infringes on Viral DRM’s copyright like Defendant,
26 Viral DRM loses out on potential sales or licensing revenue to other California businesses. When
27 Viral DRM’s copyrighted work is infringed upon and widely distributed on YouTube without Viral
28 DRM’s permission like Defendant did, it damages Viral DRM’s reputation as a professional source

of valuable extreme weather video content and makes it more difficult for Viral DRM to negotiate valuable licenses from clients and potential clients in California in the future.

YOUTUBE AND GOOGLE LLC

20. YouTube is a video-sharing platform where users can watch, upload, and share videos. It is one of the most popular websites in the world, with over 2 billion active users.

21. Google LLC owns YouTube.

22. YouTube is headquartered in this judicial district.

23. YouTube's main business is advertising. It generates revenue by selling advertising space on its website and mobile apps. Advertisers can target their ads to specific demographics, interests, and even keywords. This allows them to reach their target audience with a high degree of accuracy. YouTube also earns revenue from its YouTube Premium subscription service. YouTube Premium members can watch videos without ads, download videos for offline viewing, and access YouTube Music Premium. In addition to advertising and subscriptions, YouTube also generates revenue from other sources, such as channel memberships.

24. YouTube is a very profitable business. In 2021, it generated \$28.8 billion in revenue and \$20.6 billion in profit. Some of the factors that have contributed to YouTube's success are:

- a. The large number of users: YouTube has over 2 billion active users worldwide.
- b. The high level of engagement: Users watch an average of 1 hour and 20 minutes of YouTube videos per day.
- c. The wide variety of content: YouTube offers a wide variety of content, from music videos to educational tutorials to funny cat videos.
- d. The ease of use: YouTube is easy to use and navigate.
- e. The monetization opportunities: YouTube offers a variety of ways for creators to monetize their content, such as through advertising, channel memberships, and Super Chat.

DEFENDANT

25. MARYNA LIETUCHEVA operates the YOUTUBE CHANNEL named EXTREME WEATHER&NATURAL DISASTERS.

26. Defendant copied and downloaded Viral DRM's copyrighted Works from YouTube.

27. After Defendant downloaded Viral DRM's Works, they edited the Works, removed Viral DRM's copyright management information, and then uploaded infringing versions of Viral DRM's Works to YouTube.

28. Defendant copied Viral DRM's Works in order to advertise, market and promote their YouTube channel, grow their YouTube channel subscriber base, earn money from advertising to their YouTube subscribers, and engage in other money-making business activities using Viral DRM's copyrighted media content.

29. Defendant committed the violations alleged in connection with Defendant's businesses for purposes of advertising to the public, including YouTube viewers in California, in the course and scope of the Defendant's business.

30. The YouTube and Google AdSense terms of service prohibit the Defendant from engaging in the actions alleged herein. Specifically, the Defendant agreed (1) it is not allowed to upload content that includes third-party intellectual property (such as copyrighted material) unless with permission from that party or are otherwise legally entitled to do so; (2) it is responsible for the content uploaded to YouTube, and may be liable for any copyright infringement claims that arise from your content; (3) YouTube may remove or disable access to any content that it believes infringes on someone else's copyright.

31. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a list showing the Defendant's links to its channel, the number of subscribers, the number of videos, and the number of views for the Defendant's most popular videos, and the Viral DRM Works that are the subject of this action.

32. The Defendant's YouTube channel is extremely popular and valuable.

33. The Defendant's YouTube channel earns significant revenue from the performance and display of pirated video content.

1 34. The Defendant monetized the videos it stole from Viral DRM. Monetizing videos on
2 YouTube involves enabling advertisements to be displayed on your videos, which allows you to earn
3 money through the YouTube Partner Program. The process works like this:

4 a. Eligibility: To monetize your videos, you need to meet certain eligibility
5 criteria set by YouTube. You have to have at least 1,000 subscribers on your channel and a
6 total of 4,000 watch hours in the past 12 months.

7 b. Joining the YouTube Partner Program: Once you meet the eligibility
8 requirements, you can apply to join the YouTube Partner Program (YPP). This program
9 allows you to monetize your videos by enabling ads on them. If your application is approved,
10 you gain access to various monetization features.

11 c. Ad Formats: YouTube offers different ad formats that can appear on your
12 videos, including pre-roll ads (shown before your video starts), mid-roll ads (shown during
13 longer videos), and display ads (overlayed on the video or beside it). The specific types of
14 ads displayed on your videos may depend on factors like the viewer's location and the
15 advertiser's targeting preferences.

16 d. Revenue Sharing: When ads are displayed on your videos, you earn a portion
17 of the revenue generated by those ads. The exact revenue split varies, but generally, creators
18 receive around 55% of the ad revenue, while YouTube retains the remaining 45%. The
19 revenue is based on factors such as the number of ad impressions, viewer engagement, and
20 the advertisers' bidding.

21 e. AdSense Account: To receive payments for your YouTube earnings, you need
22 to have an AdSense account linked to your YouTube channel. AdSense is a program by
23 Google that allows publishers (in this case, YouTube creators) to earn money from ads. Once
24 your AdSense account is set up and linked to your YouTube channel, you can manage your
25 earnings and payment settings.

26 f. Payment Threshold: YouTube pays creators once they reach a payment
27 threshold, which is typically \$100. Once your earnings exceed this threshold, you become
28

1 eligible for payment. YouTube offers various payment methods, such as direct deposit or
2 wire transfer, depending on your country.

3 g. Other Revenue Streams: While ad revenue is a significant way to monetize
4 your YouTube channel, creators often explore other revenue streams as well. These can
5 include brand partnerships, sponsorships, merchandise sales, crowdfunding, and more.

6 35. Defendant had access to and downloaded Viral DRM's copyrighted Works hosted by
7 YouTube from Viral DRM's affiliated Live Storms Media YouTube channels or Facebook pages
8 online.

9 36. Once downloaded, Defendant edited the pirated videos to remove or crop out Viral
10 DRM's proprietary watermarks and metadata. After editing the pirated videos, Defendant combined
11 the Viral DRM's videos with other video content that it either stole from others or created itself and
12 then reupload the resulting video to its YouTube channels and enabled advertising on them to earn
13 monetization revenue.

14 **THE COPYRIGHTED WORKS AT ISSUE**

15 37. The authors of the Works at issue are listed in **Exhibit 1**, along with the URLs where
16 the Works can be found online.

17 38. At all relevant times, Viral DRM was the exclusive licensee of the Works at issue in
18 this case.

19 39. Viral DRM registered the Works at issue in this case with the Register of Copyrights
20 pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 411(a). Copies of the Registration Certificates are attached hereto as
21 **Exhibit 2**.

22 **INFRINGEMENT BY DEFENDANT**

23 40. Defendant has never been licensed to use the Works at issue in this action for any
24 purpose.

25 41. On a date after the Works listed in **Exhibit 1** at issue in this action were created, but
26 prior to the filing of this action, Defendant copied the Works and removed Viral DRM's copyright
27 management information from the Works.

42. Defendant copied the Works, publicly performed the Works, and made further copies and distributed the Works on the internet without Viral DRM's or the authors' permission, and without Viral DRM's copyright management information.

43. Prior to the filing of this action, on a date after the Works were registered, Viral DRM discovered the unauthorized use of the Works by Defendant.

**DMCA TAKEDOWN AND DEFENDANT'S FALSE AND MISLEADING
COUNTERNOTICE**

44. Viral DRM notified YouTube and Defendant of the allegations set forth herein in DMCA notices.

45. Defendant responded to Viral DRM's DMCA notice with a false and misleading counternotice.

46. The statements in Defendant's counternotice were materially false and Defendant lacked a subjective good faith belief in the false statement contained therein when it made the false statements.

COUNT I - COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

47. Viral DRM incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 46 of this Amended Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

48. Viral DRM owns valid copyrights in the Works at issue listed on **Exhibit 1**.

49. Viral DRM registered the Works at issue in this case with the Register of Copyrights pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 411(a), as shown in **Exhibit 2**.

50. Defendant copied, displayed, and distributed the Works at issue in this case and made derivatives of the Works without Viral DRM's authorization in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 501.

51. Defendant profited from the monetization of Viral DRM's copyrighted content by placing advertising on the Viral DRM's Works and those profits rightfully belong to Viral DRM.

52. Defendant's acts were willful. Defendant's willfulness is demonstrated by its false and misleading counternotice and the false identifying information it provided online to shield its true identity from detection.

53. Viral DRM has been damaged.

54. The harm caused to Viral DRM is irreparable.

COUNT II - MISREPRESENTATION AND FRAUD UNDER 17 U.S.C. § 512

55. Viral DRM incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 46 of this Amended Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

56. Viral DRM notified YouTube and Defendant of the allegations set forth herein in a DMCA notice.

57. Defendant responded to Viral DRM's DMCA notice with a false and misleading counternotice. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 3** are true and correct copies of Viral DRM's DMCA notice and Defendant's Counternotice.

58. Defendant's statements in the counternotice were materially false and defendant lacked a subjective good faith belief in the false statements contained therein.

59. Defendant knowingly and materially misrepresented that its copies of Viral DRM's Works were removed or disabled by mistake or misidentification.

60. Defendant knowingly and materially misrepresented its true identity in its false and misleading counternotice to shield its true identity from detection.

61. Viral DRM was injured by Defendant's misrepresentation and counternotice.

62. Viral DRM will be injured by replacing the removed material or ceasing to disable access to it.

63. Defendant profited from the monetization of Viral DRM's copyrighted content by placing advertising on Viral DRM's Works and those profits rightfully belong to Viral DRM.

64. Defendant is liable for any damages, including costs and attorneys' fees, incurred by Viral DRM.

65. The harm caused to Viral DRM has been irreparable.

COUNT III - REMOVAL OR ALTERATION OF COPYRIGHT MANAGEMENT INFORMATION

66. Viral DRM incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 46 of this Amended Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

67. The Works at issue in this case set forth on **Exhibit 1** contained copyright management information ("CMI") consisting of watermarks identifying the Works as "NOT FOR

1 BROADCAST” and the property of LIVE STORMS MEDIA or similar markings that Viral DRM
2 uses to identify and associate itself with its Works.

3 68. Defendant knowingly and with the intent to enable or facilitate copyright
4 infringement, removed CMI from the Works at issue in this action in violation of 17 U.S.C. §
5 1202(b).

6 69. Defendant committed these acts knowing or having reasonable grounds to know that
7 it will induce, enable, facilitate or conceal infringement of Viral DRM’s rights in the Works at issue
8 in this action protected under the Copyright Act.

9 70. Defendant caused, directed and authorized others to commit these acts knowing or
10 having reasonable grounds to know that it will induce, enable, facilitate or conceal infringement of
11 Viral DRM’s rights in the Works at issue in this action protected under the Copyright Act.

12 71. Viral DRM has been damaged.

13 72. The harm caused to Viral DRM has been irreparable.

14 **COUNT IV - FALSIFICATION OF COPYRIGHT MANAGEMENT INFORMATION**

15 73. Viral DRM incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 46 of this Amended
16 Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

17 74. Defendant knowingly and with the intent to induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal
18 infringement, provided copyright management information that is false.

19 75. By uploading content to YouTube, Defendant declared that it had the necessary rights
20 or permissions to distribute and share that content.

21 76. Defendant’s YouTube channel provided identifying information for Defendant that
22 was copyright management information that is falsely claimed ownership or rights in the Works
23 displayed there that was false and provided to induce, enable, facilitate or conceal infringement of
24 Viral DRM’s Works in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202(a).

25 77. In the Defendant’s counternotice to Viral DRM’s DMCA notice, Defendant
26 knowingly and with the intent to enable or facilitate copyright infringement, falsely provided its own
27 CMI in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202(a).
28

78. Defendant caused, directed and authorized others to commit these acts knowing or having reasonable grounds to know that it will induce, enable, facilitate or conceal infringement of Viral DRM's rights in the Works at issue in this action protected under the Copyright Act.

79. Viral DRM has been damaged.

80. The harm caused to Viral DRM has been irreparable

WHEREFORE, Viral DRM prays for judgment against the Defendant that:

a. Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees, affiliated entities, and all of those in active concert with them, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from committing the acts alleged herein in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 501, 1203;

b. Defendant be required to pay Viral DRM's actual damages and Defendant's profits attributable to the infringement, or, at Viral DRM's election, statutory damages, as provided in 17 U.S.C. §§ 504, 512(f), and 1203;

c. Viral DRM be awarded its attorneys' fees and costs of suit under the applicable statutes sued upon;

d. Viral DRM be awarded pre- and post-judgment interest; and

e. Viral DRM be awarded such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Viral DRM hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

DATED: February 5, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew L. Rollin

MATTHEW L. ROLLIN

SRIPLAW, P.A.

Counsel for Plaintiff Viral DRM LLC